

REMARKS

No claims are being added, cancelled or amended. The specification is being amended at page 36, TABLE 3 to correct errors. Claims 1-2, 5-31 and 41-44 are pending in the application based on entry of the 2-14-2005 Response.

Applicant's Response filed on 2-14-2005 amended TABLE 3 by deleting row 20. The present Supplemental Response additionally amends TABLE 3 as indicated below.

The data in the 4th row originally identified with compound 4 is for compound 17.
The data in the 5th row originally identified with compound 5 is for compound 20.
The data in the 6th row originally identified with compound 6 is for compound 21.
The data in the 7th row originally identified with compound 7 is for compound 22.
The data in the 8th row originally identified with compound 8 is for compound 23.
The data in the 9th row originally identified with compound 9 is for compound 5.
The data in the 10th row originally identified with compound 10 is for compound 6.
The data in the 11th row originally identified with compound 11 is for compound 7.
The data in the 12th row originally identified with compound 12 is for compound 8.
The data in the 14th row originally identified with compound 14 is for compound 9.
The data in the 15th row originally identified with compound 15 is for compound 10.
The data in the 16th row originally identified with compound 16 is for compound 14.
The data in the 17th row originally identified with compound 17 is for compound 15.
The data in the 18th row originally identified with compound 18 is for compound 16.
The data in the 19th row originally identified with compound 19 is for compound 11.

The data in TABLE 3 is an inherent property of each compound structure. Thus, the correct compounds exemplified on pages 30-33 will inherently possess the properties listed in their respective rows while the incorrect compounds will not. For example, compound 5, exemplified on page 30, correctly has a CB1 value of 50 nM and NOT 2003 nM as originally shown. Similarly, the inherently present and correct values for compounds 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 20, exemplified on pages 30-33, are

not the originally shown values. A person skilled in this art would, after testing, understand that the properties were incorrect for the originally indicated compounds and correct for the amended compounds. As such, the amendment to TABLE 3 adds no new matter and should be entered.


It is believed the application now stands in condition for allowance, and prompt favorable action thereon is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Alexandros Makriyannis et al.

Date: 2-23-2005
750 Main Street- Suite 1400
Hartford, CT 06103-2721
(860) 527-9211

By:


James E. Piotrowski
Registration No. 43,860
Alix, Yale & Ristas, LLP
Attorney for Applicant